

Application No.: 09/874,510

Docket No.: JCLA9803

REMARKS**Present Status of the Application**

Claims 1-28 and 30-35 were allowed. The Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the allowance of the aforementioned claims, and also like to thank the Examiner for the very helpful suggestions for claim amendments for overcoming the rejections.

The advisory action dated January 04, 2006 has rejected claims 47-49. In addition, the Examiner has suggested that the aforementioned rejections to claims 47-49 can be overcome by following the Examiner's suggestions on page 2 of the aforementioned advisory action.

In addition, claims 29, 36-46, and 50-62 are objected to because of informalities.

In addition, claims 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. However, the Examiner has suggested that the aforementioned rejections to claims 47-49 can be overcome by following the Examiner's suggestions on page 4 of the aforementioned advisory action.

Discussion of Claim Objections

The Office Action objected to claims 29, 36-46, and 50-62 because of informalities.

In response to item 3a in Page 3 of the advisory action pertaining to claim objections concerning nonconformance of 37 CFR 1.75(i) requirements, claims 29, 36, 37, 38, 53, 59, and 63 have been amended to conform with 37 CFR 1.75(i) requirements. As a result, the objections to claims 29, 36, 37, 38, 53, 59, and 63 should be withdrawn.

Application No.: 09/874,510

Docket No.: JCLA9803

In response to items 3b and 3c in Page 3 of the advisory action, corresponding amendments to claims 57 and 59 are made in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions. As a result, the objections to claims 57 and 59 should be withdrawn.

Discussion of the claim rejection under 35 USC 112

The Office Action rejected claims 47-49 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

In response to the aforementioned rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the Applicants have changed "claim 42" in claim 47, line 1 to "claim 37" based upon the Examiner's suggestion on Page 4 of the advisory action. Therefore, claims 47-49 should be allowed.

Application No.: 09/874,510

Docket No.: JCLA9803


CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all the pending claims 1-63 of the present application patentably define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: 1/17/2006

4 Venture, Suite 250
Irvine, CA 92618
Tel.: (949) 660-0761
Fax: (949)-660-0809

Respectfully submitted,
J.C. PATENTS


Jiawei Huang
Registration No. 43,330